

UM-P-96/85

RCHEP-96/10

NEUTRINO-ANTINEUTRINO ASYMMETRY IN THE EARLY UNIVERSE

R.R. VOLKAS

*Research Centre for High Energy Physics, School of Physics,
University of Melbourne, Parkville 3052, Australia*

In this talk I discuss the use of a relic neutrino-antineutrino asymmetry in suppressing active-sterile neutrino oscillations in the early universe. This phenomenon can serve to greatly loosen the well known Big Bang Nucleosynthesis constraints on active-sterile neutrino mixing, and is thus important in some proposed solutions to the solar and atmospheric neutrino anomalies which employ large-angle active-sterile mixing. I first discuss the necessary conditions for the survival of a pre-existing neutrino asymmetry. I then consider the much more interesting phenomenon whereby such an asymmetry can actually be created by active-sterile neutrino oscillations.

1 Introduction

There are three experimental indications for neutrino oscillations: the solar neutrino problem, the atmospheric neutrino problem and the LSND experiment. Each is fraught with concerns about the veracity of the claimed effect. The solar problem is perhaps the most solidly established, given that the two gallium based experiments GALLEX and SAGE are technologically impressive as well as because they measure neutrinos from the pp chain in which theoretical solar model uncertainties are quite small (10%). The status of the atmospheric problem will presumably soon be settled by Superkamiokande, while we will have to wait 2 – 3 years to see the LSND claim either confirmed or disconfirmed by KARMEN¹.

If all three effects are real, then at least one species of sterile neutrino must exist (if the explanation is to lie solely within the ambit of neutrino oscillations). If one sterile species exists, then there are strong reasons for thinking that two more such species should also exist.² One is thus led to consider scenarios involving three active and three sterile neutrino flavours. The solar problem would then be solved by the mixing of ν_e with one of the sterile species ν'_e , either through the MSW effect or through vacuum oscillations. Another of the sterile species ν'_μ can then usefully be employed to solve the atmospheric problem through large-angle $\nu_\mu - \nu'_\mu$ mixing with $\delta m^2 \simeq 10^{-2} \text{ eV}^2$.^{3,2}

This scenario can pose a severe cosmological problem, however. The parameters needed to solve the atmospheric problem via active-sterile oscillations

will lead to ν'_μ being brought into thermal equilibrium prior to the Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) epoch, *provided that there is no significant neutrino-antineutrino asymmetry in the background plasma*. This means that the number of neutrino species contributing to the expansion of the universe during BBN will be at least equal to four. This is marginally allowed according to current BBN analyses.⁴ However, some models of “sterile” neutrinos actually have significant self-interactions between them, even though their interactions with ordinary matter are extremely small. If these self-interactions are sufficiently strong, then the excitation of one sterile species will lead to the thermal equilibration of all three sterile species, thus presenting a clear BBN problem. In addition, the simple maximal mixing active-sterile vacuum oscillation solution to the solar problem⁵ can also lead to the equilibration of ν'_e if $\delta m^2 > 10^{-7}$ eV².

Sterile neutrino models therefore have a cosmological credibility problem. However, recent work has shown how a relic neutrino-antineutrino asymmetry can be used to suppress active-sterile transitions in the early universe^{6,7}. This promises to meet the cosmological challenge of BBN in full. It is quite possible, though not definitively shown at the time of the present conference, that large-angle active-sterile solutions to the solar and atmospheric problems can be consistent with BBN through the agency of a sufficiently large neutrino asymmetry ($\sim 10^{-5}$). I will review this work in this talk. I first consider a pre-existing neutrino asymmetry and determine the condition under which it can survive to suppress active-sterile transitions prior to BBN.⁶ I then consider the much more interesting scenario whereby active-sterile neutrino oscillations can themselves *create* the neutrino asymmetry that then suppresses further such oscillations.⁷

2 Survival of pre-existing neutrino asymmetry

Suppose that some unspecified mechanism creates a neutrino asymmetry L at a temperature much higher than the epoch preceeding BBN (> 100 MeV, say). The matter induced potential for an active species ν_α where $\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$ is then

$$V_\alpha = \sqrt{2}G_F n_\gamma \left(L^{(\alpha)} - \frac{A_\alpha T^2}{m_W^2} \right), \quad (1)$$

where G_F is the Fermi constant, $A_e \simeq 55$, $A_{\mu,\tau} \simeq 15.3$, n_γ is the photon number density, m_W is the W mass and

$$L^\alpha \simeq L_{\nu_\alpha} + L_{\nu_e} + L_{\nu_\mu} + L_{\nu_\tau}, \quad (2)$$

with $L_f \equiv (n_f - n_{\bar{f}})/n_\gamma$ for fermion species f . The matter mixing angle between ν_α and a sterile species ν'_α is then

$$\sin^2 2\theta_m = \frac{\sin^2 2\theta_0}{1 - 2z \cos 2\theta_0 + z^2} \quad (3)$$

where θ_0 is the vacuum mixing angle (which we for definiteness set to be the maximal $\pi/4$ from now on) and

$$z \equiv -a + b \equiv \frac{2E}{\delta m^2} V_\alpha, \quad (4)$$

with a being the neutrino asymmetry term and b being the $A_\alpha T^2/m_W^2$ term. At high T , the b term dominates and makes θ_m close to zero thus suppressing active-sterile transitions. However, for interesting values of δm^2 the b term ceases to be large enough at temperatures in the 5 – 30 MeV range. In this case, the asymmetry term a needs to be sufficiently large in order for the transitions to continue to be suppressed. We need, roughly, that⁶

$$L^{(e)} > \frac{|\delta m^2|/eV^2}{400}, \quad L^{(\mu,\tau)} > \frac{|\delta m^2|/eV^2}{1600}. \quad (5)$$

However, for the large mixing angles of interest it is well known that the effect of the active-sterile oscillations is to destroy any pre-existing $L^{(\alpha)}$. If the asymmetry is destroyed prior to the b term becoming too small, then subsequent oscillations will bring ν'_α into thermal equilibrium. The critical time is during the MSW resonance when the matter mixing angle become maximal: $\theta_m = \pi/4$. The condition that the asymmetry survive the destructive tendencies of the MSW resonance is that⁶

$$L^{(\alpha)} > L_{crit}^{(\alpha)} \simeq \left(\frac{(\delta m^2)^4 m_P^2 A_\alpha^9}{3 \times 10^5 y_\alpha^2 G_F^4 m_W^{18}} \right)^{1/11}, \quad (6)$$

where m_P is the Planck mass and y_α is a calculable parameter that determines the collision frequency of ν_α ($y_e \simeq 4$, $y_{\mu,\tau} \simeq 2.9$). This condition arises by demanding that the system pass through the MSW resonance briefly, rather than being forced to track the MSW resonance as the resonance temperature evolves to lower and lower temperatures as $L^{(\alpha)}$ is destroyed. So, a pre-existing asymmetry can suppress active-sterile transitions provided it is sufficiently large. Evaluating Eq.(6) we get that⁶

$$L^{(e)} > 9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ for } |\delta m^2| < 10^{-4} eV^2, \quad L^{(\mu,\tau)} > 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ for } |\delta m^2| < 10^{-2} eV^2. \quad (7)$$

3 Creation of neutrino asymmetry through oscillations

While the above is a good start, it is clear that a concrete mechanism is needed for the creation of neutrino asymmetries. Remarkably, active-sterile oscillations can themselves create such asymmetries!⁷ Suppose that at some initial time or temperature the number density of a sterile species is negligible compared to that of an active species. One can show then that

$$\frac{dL_{\nu_\alpha}}{dt} \simeq \frac{3}{8} [-\Gamma(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu'_\alpha) + \Gamma(\bar{\nu}_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\nu}'_\alpha)], \quad (8)$$

where the transition rates are given by

$$\Gamma(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu'_\alpha) \simeq \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 2\theta_m \Gamma_\alpha \quad (9)$$

and a similar expression for antineutrinos with the sign of the asymmetry reversed. The collision rate $\Gamma_\alpha = y_\alpha G_F^2 T^5$. A number of other important assumptions are incorporated into the above: we have assumed that the matter eigenstate basis diagonalises the Hamiltonian, that the thermal spread of neutrino momentum can be ignored, and that the mean free path for ν_α is much larger than the matter oscillation length. There is unfortunately not enough room here to further discuss these approximations. Equation 8 can then be reduced to⁷

$$\frac{dL_{\nu_\alpha}}{dt} \simeq \frac{3\Gamma_\alpha s^2 a(c-b)}{4[1-2c(-a+b) + (a-b)^2][1-2c(a+b) + (a+b)^2]}, \quad (10)$$

where $s \equiv \sin \theta_0$ and $c \equiv \cos \theta_0$. We now do *not* set θ_0 to be $\pi/4$.

Consider the case where $\delta m^2 < 0$, so that $b > 0$. For high T , $b > c$ and dL/dt has the opposite sign to $L^{(\alpha)}$. This means that the asymmetry will tend to be destroyed, a fact we used in the previous section. However, there will a critical temperature when $b = c$ given by

$$T_{crit} \simeq 13(16) \left(\frac{c|\delta m^2|}{eV^2} \right)^{1/6} \quad (11)$$

for $\nu_e(\nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)$. For temperatures below T_{crit} the magnitude of the asymmetry will be increased! Note that $L^{(\alpha)} = 0$ is a fixed point that goes from being stable to unstable as the critical temperature is passed. Suppose that initially the asymmetry is extremely small (but nonzero). Then at the critical temperature $a \simeq 0$ and $b = c$, so that both neutrinos and antineutrinos hit resonance simultaneously. Equation 10 then has as an initial form dL/dt proportional

to L and a brief period of rapid exponential growth ensues, amplified by the simultaneous resonance conditions. The asymmetry increases until $|a| \simeq c$ when the non-linearity in the equation sets in. The system then settles down to approximately track the $|a| \simeq c$ trajectory. This behaviour is illustrated in an illuminating Figure that can be found in Ref.⁷

There are now two important questions to ask: Can this effect be used to construct a BBN-consistent large-angle active-sterile neutrino model? Are the approximations used above valid? These are both very profound questions, and the required analysis is complicated. A preliminary calculation, presented at the conference, showed the following: Consider a subsystem composed of ν_e , ν_μ and ν'_e . Small-angle mixing of ν_μ with ν'_e can induce a large muon-number asymmetry via the above mechanism. An analogous calculation to that summarised in the previous section can then be performed to show that large-angle $\nu_e - \nu'_e$ oscillations will not destroy this asymmetry provided that $|\delta m_{ee'}^2/eV^2| < 10^{-6}|\delta m_{\mu e'}^2/eV^2|^{11/12}$ adopting a self-evident notation of the mass differences. The author and R. Foot have analysed the two questions posed above in some depth since the conference. Our results appear in Ref.⁸.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council. The author would like to thank Professor Matts Roos for organising a very interesting conference. He is also grateful to Kari Enqvist and Kimmo Kainulainen for some discussions that took place during the conference.

References

- [1] See the contributions of T. Kirsten, V. Gavrin, Y. Suzuki and J. Kleinfeller to these proceedings.
- [2] R. Foot and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. D52, 6595 (1995).
- [3] R. Foot, Mod. Phys. Lett. A9, 169 (1994).
- [4] K. Olive, these proceedings.
- [5] R. Foot and R. R. Volkas, hep-ph/9510312; R. Foot, H. Lew and R. R. Volkas, Mod. Phys. Lett. A7, 2567 (1992).
- [6] R. Foot and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4350 (1995); for earlier numerical work see K. Enqvist et al., Phys. Lett. B244, 186 (1990).
- [7] R. Foot, M. J. Thomson and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. D53, 5349 (1996); see also X. Shi, astro-ph/9602135.
- [8] R. Foot and R. R. Volkas, hep-ph/9610229 and hep-ph/9612245.